ON THE GLOBAL KRYLOV SUBSPACE METHODS FOR SOLVING
GENERAL COUPLED MATRIX EQUATIONS

Fatemeh Panjeh Ali Beik§ and Davod Khojasteh Salkuyeh*†

§Department of Mathematics, Vali-e-Asr University of Rafsanjan, Rafsanjan, Iran
e-mail: f.beik@vru.ac.ir
†Department of Mathematics, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, P. O. Box 179, Ardabil, Iran
e-mail: khojaste@uma.ac.ir

Abstract. In the present paper, we propose the global full orthogonalization method (Gl-FOM) and global generalized minimum residual (Gl-GMRES) method for solving large and sparse general coupled matrix equations

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_{ij} X_j B_{ij} = C_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, p, \]

where \( A_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \), \( B_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \), \( C_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \), and \( i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, p \), are given matrices and \( X_j \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, p \), are the unknown matrices. To do so, first, a new inner product and its corresponding matrix norm are defined. Then, using a linear operator equation and new matrix product, we demonstrate how to employ Gl-FOM and Gl-GMRES algorithms for solving general coupled matrix equations. Finally, some numerical experiments are given to illustrate the validity and applicability of the results obtained in this work.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the general coupled matrix equations of the form

(1.1) \[ \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_{ij} X_j B_{ij} = C_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, p, \]

where \( A_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \), \( B_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \), and \( C_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \), \( i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, p \), are large and sparse matrices, \( X_j \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, p \), are the unknown matrices. Such problems arise in linear control and filtering theory for continuous or discrete-time large-scale dynamical
systems. They also play an important role in image restoration and other problems; for more details see [1, 7, 8, 16, 17] and the references therein.

Many investigated matrix equations in the literature can be considered as special cases of (1.1). For example, Bouhamidi and Jbilou [1] have considered the generalized Sylvester matrix equation

\begin{equation}
\sum_{j=1}^{p} A_j X B_j = C,
\end{equation}

and proposed a Krylov subspace method for solving (1.2). In [12], Li and Wang proposed an iterative algorithm for minimal norm least squares solution to (1.2). Chang [3] has presented necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence and the expressions for the symmetric solutions of the matrix equations

\begin{equation*}
\begin{cases}
AX + YA = C, \\
AXA^T + BYB^T = C,
\end{cases}
\end{equation*}

and

\begin{equation*}
(A^T X A, B^T X B) = (C, D).
\end{equation*}

In [15], Wang et al. have given necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of constant solutions with bi(skew)symmetric constrains to the matrix equations

\begin{equation*}
A_i X - Y B_i = C_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, s,
\end{equation*}

and

\begin{equation*}
A_i X B_i - C_i Y D_i = E_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, s.
\end{equation*}

A good survey of the methods to solve special cases of the general coupled matrix equations (1.1) can be found in [4].

It is easy to see that the general coupled matrix equations (1.1) is equivalent to

\begin{equation}
\sum_{j=1}^{p} (B^T_{ij} \otimes A_{ij}) \text{vec}(X_j) = \text{vec}(C_i), \quad i = 1, \ldots, p,
\end{equation}

where \( \otimes \) denotes the Kronecker product operator and \( \text{vec}(Z) = (z^T_1, z^T_2, \ldots, z^T_m)^T \) for \( Z = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \). Obviously, the coefficient matrix of the linear system (1.3) is of order \( pmn \) and can be solved by iterative methods such as the methods based on the Krylov subspace methods like the GMRES [9]. Evidently, the size of the linear system (1.3) would be huge even for moderate values of \( m, n \) and \( p \). Therefore, it is more preferable to employ an iterative method for solving the original system (1.1) instead of the linear system (1.3). Note that system (1.1) has a unique solution if and only if the coefficient matrix of the linear system (1.3) is nonsingular. Throughout this paper we assume that the system (1.1) has a unique solution.

In [4], Dehghan and Hajarian have presented an iterative method to solve the general coupled matrix equations (1.1) over generalized bisymmetric matrix group \((X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p)\). In [6], a gradient based algorithm and a least square based iterative algorithm have been presented for solving (1.2). Recently, Zhang in [16] have extended the CGNE [10] and Bi-CGSTAB [10] algorithms to solve (1.1).
In [7], the global Krylov subspace methods have been originally presented for solving linear system of equations with multiple right-hand sides. It is well-known that the global Krylov subspace methods outperform better than other iterative methods for solving such systems when the coefficient matrix is large and nonsymmetric. On the other hand, the global Krylov subspace methods are also effective when applied for solving large and sparse linear matrix equations; for more details see [1, 11, 13] and the references therein. Therefore, we are interested in employing the global Krylov subspaces for solving (1.1) when the coefficient matrices are large and sparse. To do so, we first define the linear operator $M$ as follows
\[ M : \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}^{m \times pn}, \]
where
\[ X = (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p) \to M(X) = (A_1(X), A_2(X), \ldots, A_p(X)), \]
and
\[ A_i(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_{ij} X_j B_{ij}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, p. \]
Using the linear operator $M$, we rewrite Eq. (1.1) as
\[ M(X) = C, \]
where $C = (C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_p)$. In the next sections, we utilize the linear matrix operator $M$ to present Gl-FOM and Gl-GMRES algorithms for solving (1.1). More precisely, we focus on the solution of Eq. (1.4) instead of Eq. (1.1).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first recall some necessary definitions and notations, then a new inner product is presented. We also introduce a new matrix product and give some of its properties. Section 3 is devoted to employing the Gl-FOM and Gl-GMRES algorithms for solving Eq. (1.4). In Section 4, some numerical experiments are given to show the efficiency of the proposed algorithms. Finally, the paper is ended with a brief conclusion in Section 6.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we review some notations and definitions which are utilized throughout this paper. Moreover, we introduce some new concepts which are useful for presenting the Gl-FOM and Gl-GMRES algorithms for solving Eq. (1.4).

For two matrices $Y$ and $Z$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, the inner product $< Y, Z >_F$ is defined as $< Y, Z >_F = \text{tr}(Y^T Z)$, the associate norm is the Frobenius norm denoted by $\| \cdot \|_F$.

**Definition 2.1.** (R. Bouyouli et al. [2]). Let $A = [A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_p]$ and $B = [B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_\ell]$ be matrices of dimensions $n \times ps$ and $n \times \ell s$, respectively, where $A_i$ and $B_j$ are $n \times s$ matrices. Then the matrix $A^T \circ B = [(A^T \circ B)_{ij}]_{p \times \ell}$ is defined by
\[ (A^T \circ B)_{ij} = < A_i, B_j >_F. \]

In the following, we define a new inner product and its corresponding matrix norm which are used for deriving our further results in this paper.
Definition 2.2. Assume that \( \mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p) \) and \( \tilde{\mathbf{X}} = (\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2, \ldots, \tilde{X}_p) \) are in \( \mathbb{R}^{m \times pn} \). We define the inner product \(<\cdot, \cdot>\) as follows:
\[
(2.1) \quad <\mathbf{X}, \tilde{\mathbf{X}}> = \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^T \diamond \tilde{\mathbf{X}}).
\]

Remark 2.3. For \( X = (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p) \) in \( \mathbb{R}^{m \times pn} \), the norm of \( X \) is defined by \( \|X\|^2 = \text{tr}(X^T \circ X) \). Throughout this paper, a set of matrices in \( \mathbb{R}^{m \times pn} \) is said to be orthonormal if it is orthonormal with respect to the scalar product (2.1).

Now, we introduce a new product denoted by \( \otimes \) and defined as follows:

Definition 2.4. Let \( A = [A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \ldots, A^{(k)}], B = [B^{(1)}, B^{(2)}, \ldots, B^{(k)}] \) be \( m \times kpn \) and \( m \times \ell pn \) matrices, respectively, where \( A^{(i)} = [A_1^{(i)}, A_2^{(i)}, \ldots, A_p^{(i)}], B^{(s)} = [B_1^{(s)}, B_2^{(s)}, \ldots, B_p^{(s)}] \) and \( A_j^{(i)}, B_j^{(s)} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, k, s = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell \) and \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, p \). The \( k \times \ell \) matrix \( A^T \otimes B \) is defined by:
\[
A^T \otimes B = \begin{pmatrix}
\text{tr}(A^{(1)}^T \diamond B^{(1)}) & \text{tr}(A^{(1)}^T \diamond B^{(2)}) & \cdots & \text{tr}(A^{(1)}^T \diamond B^{(k)}) \\
\text{tr}(A^{(2)}^T \diamond B^{(1)}) & \text{tr}(A^{(2)}^T \diamond B^{(2)}) & \cdots & \text{tr}(A^{(2)}^T \diamond B^{(k)}) \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\text{tr}(A^{(k)}^T \diamond B^{(1)}) & \text{tr}(A^{(k)}^T \diamond B^{(2)}) & \cdots & \text{tr}(A^{(k)}^T \diamond B^{(k)})
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

It is not difficult to establish the following remarks.

Remarks.
(i) If \( X = (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times pn} \), then \( X^T \otimes X = \|X\|^2 \).
(ii) The matrix \( A = (A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \ldots, A^{(k)}) \) is called orthonormal if and only if \( A^T \otimes A = I_k \).
(iii) Let the matrices \( A, B \) be defined as before and \( L \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times \ell} \). Then
\[
(2.2) \quad A^T \otimes (B((L \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n)) = (A^T \otimes B)L.
\]
(iv) Let \( A, B, C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times kpn} \), then
(a) \( (A + B)^T \otimes C = A^T \otimes C + B^T \otimes C \).
(b) \( A^T \otimes (B + C) = A^T \otimes B + A^T \otimes C \).
(c) \( (A^T \otimes B)^T = B^T \otimes A \).

3. Implementing Global Krylov subspace methods

In this section, we utilize GI-FOM and GI-GMRES algorithms to solve Eq. (1.4) which is equivalent to Eq. (1.1).

Suppose that \( X^{(0)} = (X_1^{(0)}, X_2^{(0)}, \ldots, X_p^{(0)}) \) in \( \mathbb{R}^{m \times pn} \) is a given initial approximate solution and consider the Eq. (1.4). As a natural way, we define the matrix Krylov subspace as follows
\[
(3.1) \quad K_k(M, R^{(0)}) = \text{span} \left\{ R^{(0)}, M(R^{(0)}), \ldots, M^{k-1}(R^{(0)}) \right\},
\]
where \( R^{(0)} = C - M(X^{(0)}) \).
3.1. **Global Arnoldi process.** In this subsection, we employ the global Arnoldi process to construct an orthonormal basis for the matrix Krylov subspace defined by (3.1).

**Algorithm 1. Global Arnoldi process.**

1. Set $V_1 = R^{(0)} / \| R^{(0)} \|$.  
2. For $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ Do  
   3. $W := \mathcal{M}(V_j)$  
   4. For $i = 1, 2, \ldots, j$ Do  
      5. $h_{ij} := < W, V_i >$  
      6. $W := W - h_{ij}V_i$  
         End for  
   7. $h_{j+1,j} := \| W \|$. If $h_{j+1,j} = 0$, then stop.  
   8. $V_{j+1} := W/h_{j+1,j}$  
   9. End for  

Suppose that $V_k = [V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_k]$ denotes the $m \times kp m$ where $V_i = [V_1^{(i)}, V_2^{(i)}, \ldots, V_p^{(i)}]$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Let $\mathcal{H}_k$ the $(k+1) \times k$ an upper Hessenberg matrix where its nonzero entries $h_{ij}$ are computed by Algorithm 1 and $H_k$ is the $k \times k$ matrix obtained from $\mathcal{H}_k$ by deleting its last row. It is not difficult to see that the matrix $V_k$, produced by Algorithm 1, is an orthonormal basis for the $K_k(M, R^{(0)})$, i.e., $V_k^T \otimes V_k = I_k$.

The following proposition is easily deduced from Algorithm 1.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let $\mathcal{V}_k$, $\mathcal{H}_k$ and $H_k$ be defined as before, then we have the following relations:

1. $[\mathcal{M}(V_1), \mathcal{M}(V_2), \ldots, \mathcal{M}(V_k)] = \mathcal{V}_k((H_k \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n) + h_{k+1,k}[0_{m \times pm}, \ldots, 0_{m \times pn}, V_{k+1}]$.  
2. $[\mathcal{M}(V_1), \mathcal{M}(V_2), \ldots, \mathcal{M}(V_k)] = \mathcal{V}_{k+1}((\mathcal{H}_k \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n)$.  

3.2. **Gl-FOM for solving the general coupled linear matrix equations.** Starting from an initial guess $X^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times pm}$ and the corresponding residual $R^{(0)} = C - \mathcal{M}(X^{(0)})$, the Gl-FOM algorithm computes the approximate solution $X^{(k)}$ such that

$$X^{(k)} \in X^{(0)} + K_k(M, R^{(0)}),$$

and

$$(3.2) R^{(k)} = C - \mathcal{M}(X^{(k)}) \perp K_k(M, R^{(0)}).$$

Considering the orthonormal basis $\mathcal{V}_k = [V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_k]$ for $K_k(M, R^{(0)})$, we get

$$(3.3) X^{(k)} = X^{(0)} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} V_i y_i^{(k)} = X^{(0)} + \mathcal{V}_k((y^{(k)} \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n),$$

where the real vector $y^{(k)} = [y_1^{(k)}, y_2^{(k)}, \ldots, y_k^{(k)}]^T$ is obtained by imposing the orthogonality condition (3.2).
Theorem 3.2. The approximate solution $X^{(k)}$ produced by the Gl-FOM algorithm is given by

$$X^{(k)} = X^{(0)} + V_k ((y^{(k)} \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n)$$

where $y^{(k)}$ is the solution of the following linear system

$$H_k y = \beta e_1,$$

where $\beta = \|R^{(0)}\|.$

Proof. Straightforward computations show that

$$R^{(k)} = C - M(X^{(k)}) = C - M(X^{(0)}) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} M(V_i y_i^{(k)}) = R^{(0)} - \sum_{i=1}^{k} M(V_i) y_i^{(k)} = R^{(0)} - [M(V_1), \ldots, M(V_k)] ((y^{(k)} \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n).$$

Using the first relation of Proposition 3.1, we derive

$$R^{(k)} = R^{(0)} - (V_k ((H_k \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n) + h_{k+1,k}[0_{m \times p}, \ldots, 0_{m \times p}, V_{k+1}]) ((y^{(k)} \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n).$$

The orthogonality condition (3.2) implies that $V_k^T \otimes R^{(k)} = 0.$ Therefore, from the above relation and Eq. (2.2), we deduce

$$V_k^T \otimes R^{(0)} = (V_k^T \otimes V_k) H_k y^{(k)}.$$

On the other hand, it is known that $V_k^T \otimes V_k = I_k$ and $R^{(0)} = V_k ((\beta e_1 \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n),$ and hence we can conclude the result immediately. \qed

The following proposition helps us to obtain the residual $\|R^{(k)}\|$ without computing $X^{(k)}$.

Proposition 3.3. The norm of residual $R^{(k)}$ corresponding to the approximate solution $X^{(k)}$ computed by the Gl-FOM algorithm satisfies in the following equality

$$\|R^{(k)}\| = h_{k+1,k} \|y^{(k)}_k\|,$$

where $y^{(k)}_k$ is the last component of the vector $y^{(k)}$.

Proof. It is not difficult to see that

$$R^{(k)} = -h_{k+1,k}[0_{m \times p}, \ldots, 0_{m \times p}, V_{k+1} ((y^{(k)} \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n)].$$

Now, the result can be easily derived by invoking the facts that $\|R^{(k)}\|^2 = (R^{(k)})^T \otimes R^{(k)}$ and $\|V_{k+1}\|^2 = V_{k+1}^T \otimes V_{k+1} = 1.$ \qed

To save memory and CPU-time requirements, the Gl-FOM algorithm is used in a restarted mode. That is, the algorithm is restarted every $k$ inner iterations, where $k$ is a given fixed integer and the corresponding algorithm is denoted by Gl-FOM $(k)$ and summarized as follows:
Algorithm 2. Gl-FOM$(k)$ algorithm for (1.1).

1. Choose $X^{(0)}$ and a tolerance $\varepsilon$. Compute $R^{(0)} = C - \mathcal{M}(X^{(0)})$ and $V_1 = R^{(0)}$.
2. Construct the orthonormal basis $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_k$ by Algorithm 1.
3. Find $y^{(k)}$ as the solution of the linear system
   \[ H_k y = \| R^{(0)} \| e_1. \]
4. Compute the residual $R^{(k)}$ and $\| R^{(k)} \|$ using Proposition 3.3.
5. If $\| R^{(k)} \| < \varepsilon$ Stop; else $R^{(0)} := R^{(k)}$, $V_1 := R^{(0)}$, Goto 2.

3.3. Gl-GMRES for solving the general coupled linear matrix equations.

Like Gl-FOM algorithm the $k$th iterate $X^{(k)}$ of the Gl-GMRES algorithm belongs to affine matrix Krylov subspace $X^{(0)} + \mathbb{K}_k(\mathcal{M}, R^{(0)})$. On the other hand, in the Gl-GMRES algorithm, the vector $y^{(k)}$ in Eq. (3.3) is obtained by imposing the following orthogonality condition

\[ R^{(k)} = C - \mathcal{M}(X^{(k)}) \perp \mathbb{K}_k(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}(R_0)). \]

The orthogonality condition (3.4) shows that $X^{(k)}$ can be obtained as the solution of the minimization problem

\[ \min_{X - X^{(0)} \in \mathbb{K}_k(\mathcal{M}, R^{(0)})} \| C - \mathcal{M}(X) \|. \]

Now, we establish the following useful theorem.

**Theorem 3.4.** The approximate solution $X^{(k)}$ computed by the Gl-GMRES algorithm is presented by $X^{(k)} = X^{(0)} + \mathcal{V}_k((y^{(k)} \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n)$ where $y^{(k)}$ is the solution of the following least square problem

\[ \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^k} \| \beta e_1 - \overline{H}_k y \|_2, \]

where $\beta = \| R^{(0)} \|$.

**Proof.** Let $\mathcal{V}_k$ be the orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{K}_k(\mathcal{M}, R^{(0)})$ which is constructed by Algorithm 1. By some easy computations and using the second relation of Proposition 3.1, we have

\[
R^{(k)} = C - \mathcal{M}(X^{(k)})
\]
\[
= C - \mathcal{M}(X^{(0)}) + \sum_{i=1}^k V_i y_i^{(k)}
\]
\[
= R^{(0)} - \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{M}(V_i) y_i^{(k)}
\]
\[
= R^{(0)} - [\mathcal{M}(V_1), \ldots, \mathcal{M}(V_k)] (y^{(k)} \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n)
\]
\[
= R^{(0)} - \mathcal{V}_{k+1}((\overline{H} k y^{(k)} \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n)
\]
\[
= R^{(0)} - \mathcal{V}_{k+1}((\overline{H} k y^{(k)} \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n).
\]
It is known that \( R^{(0)} = V_{k+1}((\beta e_1 \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n) \), hence \[
R^{(k)} = V_{k+1}(((\beta e_1 - \overline{H}_ky^{(k)}) \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n).\]
Evidently \( \lVert R^{(k)} \rVert^2 = (R^{(k)})^T \odot R^{(k)} \), therefore using Eq. (2.2), we have
\[
\lVert R^{(k)} \rVert^2 = (V_{k+1}(((\beta e_1 - \overline{H}_ky^{(k)}) \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n))^T \odot (V_{k+1}(((\beta e_1 - \overline{H}_ky^{(k)}) \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n))
\]
\[
= (\beta e_1 - \overline{H}_ky^{(k)})^T (V_{k+1}^T \odot V_{k+1})(\beta e_1 - \overline{H}_ky^{(k)}),
\]
as \( V_{k+1}^T \odot V_{k+1} = I_{k+1} \), we get
\[
\lVert R^{(k)} \rVert^2 = \lVert \beta e_1 - \overline{H}_ky^{(k)} \rVert^2_2.
\]
Now, we can conclude the result from Eq. (3.5) immediately. \( \square \)

Consider the QR decomposition of the \((k + 1) \times k\) matrix \( \overline{H}_k \), i.e., \( \overline{R}_k = Q_k \overline{H}_k \), where \( \overline{R}_k, Q_k \) are upper triangular and unity matrices, respectively. Assume that \( \overline{g}_k = \lVert R^{(0)} \rVert Q_ke_1 = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_{k+1})^T \), and \( R_k \) denotes the \( k \times k \) matrix obtained from \( \overline{R}_k \) by deleting its last row and \( g_k \) is the \( k \)-dimensional vector obtained from \( \overline{g}_k \) by deleting its last component. Straightforward computations show that \( y^{(k)} = R_k^{-1}g_k \).

The following theorem helps us to compute the norm of the \( k \)th residual in an inexpensive way.

**Theorem 3.5.** The residual \( R^{(k)} = C - \mathcal{M}(X^{(k)}) \) obtained by the Gl-GMRES algorithm for the general coupled matrix equation satisfies in the following equalities
\[
R^{(k)} = \gamma_{k+1}V_{k+1}((Q_k^Te_{k+1} \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n),
\]
and
\[
\lVert R^{(k)} \rVert = |\gamma_{k+1}|,
\]
where \( \gamma_{k+1} \) is the last component of the vector \( \overline{g}_k \).

**Proof.** It is not difficult to see that
\[
R^{(k)} = R^{(0)} - V_{k+1}((\overline{H}_ky^{(k)}) \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n)
= V_{k+1}(((\lVert R^{(0)} \rVert e_1 - \overline{H}_ky^{(k)}) \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n)
= V_{k+1}(((Q_k^TQ_k \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n)((\lVert R^{(0)} \rVert e_1 - \overline{H}_ky^{(k)}) \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n)
= V_{k+1}(((Q_k^T \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n)((\overline{g}_k - \overline{R}_ky^{(k)}) \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n).
\]
As \( y^{(k)} = R_k^{-1}g_k \), we get
\[
R^{(k)} = V_{k+1}(((Q_k^T \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n)((\gamma_{k+1}e_{k+1} \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n)] = \gamma_{k+1}V_{k+1}((Q_k^Te_{k+1} \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n).
\]
Evidently,
\[
\lVert R^{(k)} \rVert^2 = (R^{(k)})^T \odot R^{(k)} = \gamma_{k+1}^2(Q_k^Te_{k+1})^T (V_{k+1}^T \odot V_{k+1})(Q_k^Te_{k+1}) = \gamma_{k+1}^2,
\]
which completes the proof. \( \square \)
Like Gl-FOM algorithm, in application, the Gl-GMRES algorithm is restarted every 
k inner iterations, where \( k \) is a given fixed integer and the corresponding algorithm is
denoted by Gl-GMRES \((k)\) and presented as follows:

**Algorithm 3. Gl-GMRES\((k)\) algorithm for (1.1).**

1. Choose \( X(0) \), a tolerance \( \varepsilon \). Compute \( R(0) = C - M(X(0)) \), and \( V_1 = R(0) \).
2. Construct the orthonormal basis \( V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_k \) by Algorithm 1.
3. Determine \( y(k) \) as the solution of the least square problem:

\[
\min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^k} \| \beta e_1 - \Pi_k y \|_2.
\]

Compute \( X(k) = X(0) + V_k (y(k) \otimes I_p) \otimes I_n \).
4. Compute the residual \( R(k) \) and \( \| R(k) \| \) using Theorem 3.5.
5. If \( \| R(k) \| / \| R(0) \| < \varepsilon \) Stop; else \( R(0) := R(k), V_1 := R(0) \), Goto 2.

4. **NUMERICAL EXAMPLES**

In this section, some numerical examples are presented to illustrate the effectiveness
of the Gl-GMRES\((5)\) to solve (1.1). The algorithms were coded in Matlab. For all the
experiments, the initial guess was taken to be zero. The tests were stopped as soon as

\[
\| R(j) \| / \| R(0) \| < 10^{-8},
\]

where \( R(j) = C - M(X(j)) \).

**Example 4.1.** For this experiment, we consider the general coupled matrix equations

\[
\begin{align*}
AX_1 + X_2B &= C_1, \\
BX_1 + X_2A &= C_2,
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
4 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & 4 & \ddots \\
\ddots & \ddots & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & 4
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix}
8 & -2 & -2 \\
-2 & 8 & \ddots \\
\ddots & \ddots & -2 \\
-2 & -2 & 8
\end{pmatrix},
\]

are \( m \times m \) matrices. The right-hand side of the corresponding system \( M(X) = C \)
was taken such that \( X = (X_1, X_2) \) is the exact solution of the system where \( X_1 = 
\text{tridiag}(1, 1, 1) \) and \( X_2 = \text{tridiag}(1, -1, 1) \). The numerical results are given in Table 1. In this table, “iters” and “Err” stand for the number of iterations needed for the
convergence and

\[
\text{Err} = \| (X_1, X_2) - (\bar{X}_1, \bar{X}_2) \|_\infty,
\]

respectively, where \( (\bar{X}_1, \bar{X}_2) \) is the approximate solution computed by Algorithm 3. Numerical results show that the Gl-GMRES\((5)\) is efficient for solving the general coupled
matrix equations.
Table 1. Numerical results for Example 4.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m</th>
<th>iters</th>
<th>Err</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2.02e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.28e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.86e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6.32e-6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Numerical results for Example 4.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>iters</th>
<th>Err</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>1.37e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3.92e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>4.90e-6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example 4.2. Let
\[ T_{d,k} = \text{tridiag}(-1 + \frac{10}{k + 1}, d, -1 + \frac{10}{k + 1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}. \]

We consider the general coupled matrix equations
\[
\begin{align*}
A_{11}X_1B_{11} + A_{12}X_2B_{12} &= C_1, \\
A_{21}X_1B_{21} + A_{22}X_2B_{22} &= C_2,
\end{align*}
\]
where \(B_{11} = B_{22} = T_{2,n}, B_{12} = B_{21} = T_{3,n}\) and \(A_{11} = A_{12} = A_{21} = A_{22} = \text{GR3030}\), in which \text{GR3030} has been downloaded from the Matrix-Market website [14]. Here we mention that \text{GR3030} is a matrix of order 900 with 4322 nonzero entries. The right-hand side of the corresponding system \(M(X) = C\) was taken such that \(X = (X_1, X_2)\) is the exact solution of the system where
\[
(X_1)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
1, & |i - j| \leq 1, \\
0, & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]
\[
(X_2)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
1, & i = j, \\
0, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

The numerical results for different values of \(n\) are presented in Table 2. All of other assumptions are as the previous example. Numerical results demonstrate that the Gl-GMRES(5) is a profitable method for solving the general coupled matrix equations.

5. Conclusion

We have extended the global FOM and GMRES algorithms to solve the general coupled matrix equations. Furthermore, by introducing a new matrix product, the global FOM and GMRES algorithms have been analyzed for solving the general coupled matrix equations. Moreover, some numerical results of the global GMRES algorithm have been presented. Our numerical experiments have illustrated the effectiveness of the global GMRES algorithm for solving general coupled matrix equations. More theoretical results of the proposed algorithms are under investigation.
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